Application No. Applicant(s)

09/194,025

Bouquet et al.

Examiner

Interview Summary

Robert A. Zeman

Group Art Unit 1645



All participan	nts (applicant, applicant's representati	ive, PTO personnel):	
(1) Robert A.	. Zeman	(3)	
(2) <u>B. Aaron</u>	Schulman		
Date of Interv	view <i>Feb 8, 2001</i>		
Type: 🛛 To	elephonic	en to 🗌 applicant 🗌 applicant's re	epresentative).
Exhibit show	n or demonstration conducted:	Yes 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement	🛚 was reached. 🗌 was not reache	d.	
Claim(s) discu	ussed: <u>4, 10, 12, 13, 17, and 18</u>		
Identification None	of prior art discussed:		
			·
the claims all		ne amendments, if available, which the ere no copy of the amendents which wo )	
1. 🛛 It is n	ot necessary for applicant to provide	a separate record of the substance of	the interview.
LAST OFFICE Section 713.0	ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUS 04). If a response to the last Office a	ndicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WF ST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE action has already been filed, APPLICAN MENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP IT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
each claim: Office	of the objections, rejections and requisions are now allowable, this completed to	above (including any attachments) reflect direments that may be present in the last form is considered to fulfill the response or providing a separate record of the in-	et Office action, and since the erequirements of the last
Examiner Note:	You must sign and stamp this form unless	it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	